

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/659,119	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KAWASAKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kevin M. Bernatz	<b>Art Unit</b> 1773	

**All Participants:**  
  
(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.  
  
(2) Mindy Ritner.

**Status of Application:** allowed  
  
(3) \_\_\_\_\_  
  
(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 21 May 2007

**Time:** AM


**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**    ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**  
  
**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
N/A  
  
**Claims discussed:**  
26  
  
**Prior art documents discussed:**  
N/A

**Part II.**  
  
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The Examiner proposed claim amendments to bring the case into condition for allowance (see Examiner's amendments).  
Applicants agreed to the proposed changes.*

**Part III.**  
  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)